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1 Introduction

The partnership between the Joint Nature Conservation Committee (JNCC) and Biological Records Centre (BRC) is a research program to analyse and interpret biological records data. A key strategic aim of this work is to derive quantitative and robust measures of trends in species’ status over policy-relevant time-periods.

Biological records are observations of species in a known place in space and time. Most records are made by volunteer recorders and whilst these data may be collected following a specific protocol, the majority of records in these datasets are opportunistic. The intensity of recording varies in both space and time (Isaac, 2012), which is a challenge for estimating robust quantitative trends.

Fortunately, a range of methods now exists for producing such trends using unstructured biological records data. Computer simulations show that some of these methods are robust to the biases in biological records data (Isaac, 2012). Here we apply three such methods to estimate preliminary trends in the status of over 1300 native species of plants and animals in well-recorded groups. The summary statistics provide a broad overview of changes in the status of native biodiversity for a much wider range of taxa than has previously been possible (Thomas et al 2004).

2 Methods

We used datasets from four recording schemes (Table 1) covering well-studied taxa. We restrict our analyses to the period 1970-2010, since few data are available prior to this period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxon</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Number of records</th>
<th>Number of species</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bryophytes</td>
<td>British Bryological Society</td>
<td>2,281,525</td>
<td>1,371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coccinellidae</td>
<td>UK Ladybird Survey</td>
<td>107,392</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hymenoptera</td>
<td>Bees, Wasps &amp; Ants Recording Scheme</td>
<td>362,819</td>
<td>548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moths</td>
<td>National Moth Recording Scheme</td>
<td>10,985,245</td>
<td>1,033</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 – Datasets used in these analyses. Sample sizes are for the period 1970-2010.

Many candidate methods exist for estimating trends from biological records data and we lack a coherent view on which method is best. Preliminary results from computer simulations (Isaac, 2012) suggest that three methods show sufficient promise to warrant serious consideration. These are List Length (Szabo et al, 2010), the ‘mixed model’ (Roy et al, 2012) and Frescalo (Hill, 2011). The first two of these use data at the level of a ‘visit’, defined as a unique combination of date and 1km², and produce an estimated change in occurrence per year (i.e. a linear trend). Frescalo uses data aggregate into two time-periods with a spatial resolution of the 10km grid cell (henceforth referred to as a ‘hectad’). We used time periods of 1970-1989 & 1990-2009 for all datasets.

We applied all three methods to the records data for each species for which there were not known taxonomic issues and for which records existed in a minimum of 20 hectads with at least one hectad in England (following the method in Hickling et al, 2006). For the mixed model, we restricted the data to a subset of well-sampled grid cells: we selected only those visits in which at least four species were recorded, and used only the grid cells for which at least three visits meet this criterion (c.f. Roy et al 2012, who used a threshold of two
species). Some models from the mixed model and list-length approaches gave fitted probabilities of 0 or 1 due to small numbers of observations: these were excluded from further analysis. For Frescalo, we used the default parameterization recommended in Hill (2011). For all methods, we separated the Hymenoptera dataset into Bees, Wasps and Ants, since the three constituent groups tend to be recorded separately.

We then combined the results to obtain a consensus view of the change in distribution of each species. Each method estimates a trend in a different way, but all three return a Z-value as a measure of statistical significance. For each species we calculated the mean Z-value across methods (sensu Whitlock, 2005). The result indicates whether the consensus across methods was that the species was significantly increasing ($Z > 1.96$), significantly decreasing ($Z < -1.96$) or in between. For the purpose of summarizing the results, we separate those species with small but non-significant increases ($0 < Z < 1.96$) or decreases ($0 > Z > -1.96$).

### 3 Results

After filtering out species for which data were insufficient, or which had known taxonomic issues, 1344 species remained of the initial 3013. These species were analysed and the number of species falling into each category is shown in Table 1. Comparison across taxonomic groups becomes clearer when the data are plotted as the proportion of species in each category (Figure 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trend</th>
<th>Declining</th>
<th>Stable-declining</th>
<th>Stable increasing</th>
<th>Increasing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bryophytes</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hymenoptera: Ants</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hymenoptera: Bees</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hymenoptera: Wasps</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ladybirds</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moths</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>203</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 2 – A summary of results from the consensus approach indicating the number of species for each group that fell into each of the categories.*

Overall, a larger proportion of species show significant declines (37%) than increases (24%). Groups with the highest proportion of declining species are moths and ladybirds, both with nearly half the species assessed showing significant declines. Only ants contain a higher proportion of increasing versus decreasing species.
Our preliminary trend estimates provide a broad overview of changes in the status of UK biodiversity over four decades. The results demonstrate the great potential for estimating quantitative trends from biological records data: previously this had been possible only for taxonomic groups with repeat atlases (Thomas et al. 2004). The predominance of declining over increasing species is perhaps not surprising, but a cause for concern nonetheless. More refined models for individual species could be used to inform conservation priorities and assess species against IUCN categories of extinction risk.
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