European Community Directive on the Conservation of Natural Habitats and of Wild Fauna and Flora (92/43/EEC)

Third Report by the United Kingdom under Article 17

on the implementation of the Directive from January 2007 to December 2012 Conservation status assessment for

Species:

S2492 - Vendace (Coregonus albula)

Reporting format on the 'main results of the surveillance under Article 11' for Annex II, IV & V species

Field name	Brief explanations	
	0.2.1 Species code	S2492
	0.2.2 Species scientific	Coregonus albula
0.2 Species	name	
	0.2.3 Alternative species	
	scientific name	
	Optional	
	0.2.4 Common name	
	Optional	

1.1 Maps				
1.1.1 Distribut	tion map	True	Sensitive	False
		The distribution map is based on species records which be representative of the range within the current repo		
		further details see the 2013 Article 17 UK Approach do	ocument.	



1.1.2 Method used - map	Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate	
	For further details see the 2013 Article 17 UK Approach document and relevant country-level reporting information.	
1.1.3 Year or period	2000-2009	
	The distribution map is based on species records which are considered to be representative of the range within the current reporting period. For further details see the 2013 Article 17 UK Approach document.	

1.1.4 Additional distribution map	False
Optional	
Ориона	
1.1.5 Range map	True
	The range map was produced by applying the UK range mapping tool to the distribution map presented in 1.1.4. The alpha value for this species was 25km. For further details see the 2013 Article 17 UK Approach document.



2.1 Biogeographical region &	ATL
marine regions	
2.2 Published sources	CEH (Unpublished) Monitoring the Fish Populations of
	Bassenthwaite Lake and Derwent Water, 2011. Report to the
	Environment Agency by Centre for Ecology and Hydrology.
	Common Standards for Monitoring assessment of
	Bassenthwaite Lake, 2009. (University College London for Natural England) (unpublished)
	J. Alex; Bell, Victoria A. 2011. Predicting the potential long-
	term influence of climate change on vendace (Coregonus
	albula) habitat in Bassenthwaite Lake, U.K. Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Freshwater Biology, 56. 395-405.
	http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/10797/
	Joint Nature Conservation Committee. 2007. Second Report by the UK under Article 17 on the implementation of the Habitats
	Directive from January 2001 to December 2006. Peterborough:
	JNCC. Available from: www.jncc.gov.uk/article17
	Lyle, A. A.; Maitland, P. S.; Winfield, I. J 2006 Translocation of
	vendace from Derwentwater to safe refuge locations (2005/6)
	Final Report. Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, 31pp. (CEH:
	Project Report Number C02852) (Unpublished)
	Maberly, S.C et al. 2011 A survey of the lakes of the English

Lake District: The Lakes Tour 2010. NERC/Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, (CEH Project Number: C04357) http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/14563/2/N014563CR.pdf Warburton, J. Sediment Transfer in Steep Upland Catchments (Northern England, UK): Landform and Sediment Source Coupling.2010. Landform - Structure, Evolution, Process Control Lecture Notes in Earth Sciences Volume 115, 2010, pp 165-183 http://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007%2F978-3-540-75761-0 11?LI=true

Winfield et al, 2008. Conservation of the vendace (Coregonus albula), the U.K.'s rarest freshwater fish. In: Tallmann, Ross F.; Howland, Kimberley L.; Rennie, Michael D.; Mills, Kenneth, (eds.) Biology and management of coregonid fishes - 2008. Stuttgart, E. Schweizerbart, 547-559.

http://www.schweizerbart.de/publications/detail/isbn/97835 10470655/Biology_and_Management_of_Coregonid_Fishes_2 008

Winfield, I.J.; Fletcher, J.M.; James, J.B. 2011 Invasive fish species in the largest lakes of Scotland, Northern Ireland, Wales and England: the collective U.K. experience. Hydrobiologia, 660. 93-103. 10.1007/s10750-010-0397-2 Winfield, Ian J.; Fletcher, Janice M.; James, J. Ben 2010. Rare Fish Monitoring Final Report, Centre for Ecology and Hydrology,

http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/13743/1/Rare_fish_monitoring_Final_ Report.pdf

Winfield, Ian J.; Fletcher, Janice M.; James, J. Ben. 2009 Investigation of vendace spawning grounds in Derwent Water. Final Report. NERC/Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, 42pp. (CEH Report Ref. No: LA/C03635/3) (Unpublished) http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/id/eprint/7151

Winfield, Ian J.; Fletcher, Janice M.; James, J. Ben. 2010. Refinement of hydroacoustic methodology for vendace population assessment and monitoring. Final Report. NERC/Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, 34pp. (CEH Report Ref. No. LA/C03598/3), 2010. Winfield et al http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/id/eprint/9474

Winfield, Ian J.; Fletcher, Janice M.; Lyle, Alexander A.. 2007 Assessment of the vendace refuge population of Loch Skeen. Draft Final Report. Lancaster, NERC/Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, 20pp. (CEH Report Ref No: LA/C02539/2) (Unpublished)

Winfield, Ian J.; Fletcher, Janice M.; Lyle, Alexander A.. 2008 Assessment of the vendace refuge population of Loch Skeen. Final Report. NERC/Centre for Ecology and Hydrology (CEH Report Ref No: LA/C02539/3, Scottish Natural Heritage Commissioned Report No.281, ROAME No. R06AC601A) (Unpublished) http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/id/eprint/2181

UK distribution map data sources

Database for the Atlas of Freshwater Fishes (1637-2003)
NBN Gateway data: Cumbria Biodiversity Data Centre
GA000871 Cumbria Biodiversity Data Centre. Vertebrate
Observation Records, other than Birds. Pre-2010 for Cumbria
NBN Gateway data: Environment Agency GA001129

Environment Agency Rare and Protected Species records v1
UK Distribution Map data sources
Database for the Atlas of Freshwater Fishes (1637-2003) NBN Gateway data: Cumbria Biodiversity Data Centre GA000871 Cumbria Biodiversity Data Centre. Vertebrate Observation Records, other than Birds. Pre-2010 for Cumbria NBN Gateway data: Environment Agency GA001129 Environment Agency Rare and Protected Species records v1

2.3 Range			
2.3.1 Surface area	500		
Range	The surface area of the range was calculated from the map presented		
	in 1.1.5. For further details see the 2013 Article 17 UK Approach document.		
2.3.2 Method used	Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust		
Surface area of Range	estimate		
	For further details see the 2013 Article 17 UK Approach document and		
	relevant country-level reporting information.		
2.3.3 Short-term trend	2001-2012		
Period	For further details see the 2013 Article 17 UK Approach document and relevant country-level reporting information.		
2.3.4 Short term trend	stable		
Trend direction	The short term trend direction was derived by comparing the range map in 1.1.5 with the range map produced in the 2007 report, by considering the range trend in the 2007 report, and by considering any further information provided by the UK country conservation agencies. For further details see the 2013 Article 17 UK Approach document and relevant country-level reporting information.		
2.3.5 Short-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum		
Optional			
	b) Maximum		
2.3.6 Long-term trend Period	1989-2012		
Optional	For further details see the 2013 Article 17 UK Approach document and relevant country-level reporting information.		
2.3.7 Long-term trend Trend direction	decrease >1%/year		
Optional	The long term trend direction was derived by comparing the range map in 1.1.5 with the range map produced in the 2007 report, by considering the range trend in the 2007 report, and by considering any		

	Early in Company of the Late o		
		ovided by the UK country conservation agencies.	
	For further details see the 2013 Article 17 UK Approach document and		
	relevant country-level i	reporting information.	
2.3.8 Long-term trend	,		
Magnitude	a) Minimum		
· iuginicuuc	<u> </u>		
Optional			
		Г	
	b) Maximum		
2.3.9 Favourable reference	a) Value in km²		
range		<u> </u>	
	b) Operator for FRR	much more than	
	For further details see	the 2012 Article 17 LIV Approach decument and	
		the 2013 Article 17 UK Approach document and	
	relevant country-level r		
	c) FRR is unknown (indicated by "true")	False	
		L	
	d) Method used to set FRR		
		L	
2.3.10 Reason for change	a) Genuine change?	False	
Is the difference between the			
reported value in 2.3.1 and the	The surface area of rar	nge is the same as reported in 2007. However,	
previous reporting round	the actual area occupie	ed has declined to to extinction of the population	
mainly due to	in Bassenthwaithe.		
•	b) Improved	False	
	knowledge/more	Tuise	
	accurate data?		
		nge is the same as reported in 2007. However,	
	the actual area occupied has declined to to extinction of the population		
	in Bassenthwaithe.		
	c) Use of different False		
	method (e.g.		
	"Range tool")?		
	The surface area of range is the same as reported in 2007. However,		
	the actual area occupied has declined to to extinction of the population		
	in Bassenthwaithe.		
	III Dassendiwaldie.		

2.4 Population		
2.4.1 Population size	a) Unit	number of individuals
estimation	The population unit is the same as reported in 2007.	
(using individuals or agreed	b) Minimum 242	
exceptions where possible)	For further details see	the 2013 Article 17 UK Approach document and

	relevant country-level	reporting information
		29000
	c) Maximum	
	For further details see relevant country-level	the 2013 Article 17 UK Approach document and reporting information.
2.4.2 Population size estimation (using population	a) Unit	
unit other than individuals) Optional (if 2.4.1 filled in)		
Optional (# 2.4.1 mied iii)	b) Minimum	
	c) Maximum	
2.4.3 Additional information on population estimates / conversion Optional	a) Definition of "locality"	
Ориона	b) Method to convert data	
	c) Problems encountered to provide population size estimation	
2.4.4 Year or period	2007-2012	
2.4.4 rear or period		the 2013 Article 17 UK Approach document and reporting information.
2.4.5 Method used		omplete survey or a statistically robust
Population size	estimate	
	For further details see the 2013 Article 17 UK Approach document and relevant country-level reporting information.	
2.4.6 Short-term trend	2001-2012	eporting information.
Period		the 2013 Article 17 UK Approach document and
	relevant country-level	reporting information.
2.4.7 Short-term trend	decrease 1% or less/year	
Trend direction	For further details see the 2013 Article 17 UK Approach document and relevant country-level reporting information.	
2.4.8 Short-term trend	Televant country-level	eporting information.
Magnitude Optional	a) Minimum	
	b) Maximum	
	c) Confidence	

	!	
	interval	
2.4.9 Short-term trend Method used	Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate	
	For further details see the 2013 Article 17 UK Approach document and relevant country-level reporting information.	
2.4.10 Long-term trend –	1995-2012	
Period Optional	For further details see relevant country-level	the 2013 Article 17 UK Approach document and reporting information.
2.4.11 Long-term trend	decrease >1%/year	r
Trend direction Optional	For further details see relevant country-level	the 2013 Article 17 UK Approach document and reporting information.
2.4.12 Long-term trend	relevante edunary level	50
Magnitude Optional	a) Minimum	
		64
	b) Maximum	04
	c) Confidence interval	
2.4.13 Long term trend	Complete survey/Co	omplete survey or a statistically robust
Method used	estimate	
Optional	relevant country-level	the 2013 Article 17 UK Approach document and reporting information.
2.4.14 Favourable reference population	a) Number of individuals/agreed exceptions/other units	
	There is insufficient inf	formation available for this species to be able to
		ble reference population.
	b) Operator	much more than
	c) FRP is unknown (indicated by "true")	False
		the 2013 Article 17 UK Approach document and
	relevant country-level (d) Method used to	There is insufficient information available
	set FRP	for this species to be able to determine the favourable reference population.
		ormation available for this species to be able to be reference population.

2.4.15 Reason for change Is the difference between the	a) Genuine change?	True
value reported at 2.4.1 or 2.4.2 and the previous reporting round mainly due to:	The extinction of this species at one of the two occupied sites has now been confirmed; the decline is genuine.	
	b) Improved knowledge/more accurate data?	False
	The extinction of this species at one of the two occupied sites has now been confirmed; the decline is genuine.	
	c) Use of different method (e.g. "Range tool")?	False
	The extinction of this s been confirmed; the de	pecies at one of the two occupied sites has now ecline is genuine.

2.5 Habitat for the species								
2.5.1 Area estimation	5.43							
		For further details see the 2013 Article 17 UK Approach document and relevant country-level reporting information.						
	There is not thought to support a viable popula	be a sufficient amount of habitat in the UK to tion of the species.						
2.5.2 Year or period	2012							
	For further details see the 2013 Article 17 UK Approach document and relevant country-level reporting information.							
2.5.3 Method used Habitat for the species	Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate							
	For further details see the 2013 Article 17 UK Approach document and relevant country-level reporting information.							
2.5.4 Quality of the	a) Habitat quality	Moderate						
habitat	For further details see the 2013 Article 17 UK Approach document and relevant country-level reporting information.							
	b) Assessment method	SAC condition assessment of Bassenthwaite and Derwent Water. Further general surveys of the Cumbrian Lake District, and specific spawning substrate assessments.						
	For further details see the 2013 Article 17 UK Approach document and relevant country-level reporting information.							
2.5.5 Short-term trend	2001-2012							
Period	For further details see the 2013 Article 17 UK Approach document and relevant country-level reporting information.							
2.5.6 Short-term trend	decrease							
Trend direction	For further details see the 2013 Article 17 UK Approach document and							

		relevant country-level reporting information.							
2.5.7 Long-term tre	nd	1995-2012							
Period		For further details see the 2013 Article 17 UK Approach document and							
	Optional	relevant country-level reporting information.							
2.5.8 Long-term tre	nd	decrease	, , ,						
Trend direction	Optional		For further details see the 2013 Article 17 UK Approach document and relevant country-level reporting information.						
2.5.9 Area of suitable	le habitat	a) Value in km²							
for the species		b) Ab							
		b) Absence of data indicated as '0'							
		marcacca as c							
2.5.10 Reason for ch	nange	a) Genuine	True						
Is the difference between		change?							
value reported at 2.5.1		The decrees in surface and of helping in the last of t							
previous reporting roundue to	na mainiy	The decrease in surface area of habitat is considered to be a genuine change.							
		b) Improved knowledge/more accurate data?	False						
		The decrease in surface area of habitat is considered to be a genuir change.							
		c) Use of different method (e.g. "Range tool")?	False						
		The decrease in surface change.	area of habitat is considered to be a genuine						

a) Pressure	b) Ranking	c) Pollution qualifier
	H = high importance	
	(max 5 entries)	
	M = medium importance	
	L = low importance	
H01: Pollution to surface waters	Н	X
(limnic & terrestrial, marine &		
brackish)		
I01: invasive non-native species	Н	
I02: problematic native species	Н	
J02: human induced changes in	Н	
hydraulic conditions		
C01: Mining and quarrying	М	
F02: Fishing and harvesting	М	
aquatic resources		
M01: Changes in abiotic	L	
conditions		

For further details see the 2013 Ar information.	ticle 17 UK Approach document and relevant country-level reporting
2.6.1 Method used –	mainly based on expert judgement and other data
Pressures	For further details see the 2013 Article 17 UK Approach document and relevant country-level reporting information.

2.7 Threats		
a) Threat	b) Ranking	c) Pollution qualifier
	H = high importance (max 5 entries) M = medium importance L = low importance	
H01: Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish)	Н	X
I01: invasive non-native species	Н	
I02: problematic native species	Н	
J02: human induced changes in hydraulic conditions	Н	
C01: Mining and quarrying	М	
M01: Changes in abiotic conditions	L	

For further details see the 2013 Art information.	ticle 17 UK Approach document and relevant country-level reporting
2.7.1 Method used – Threats	expert opinion
	For further details see the 2013 Article 17 UK Approach document and
	relevant country-level reporting information.

2.8 Complementary information						
2.8.1 Justification of %						
thresholds for trends						
2.8.2 Other relevant information						
2.8.3 Trans-boundary						
assessment						

2.9 Conclusions (assessment)	of conservation statu	s at end of reporting period)					
2.9.1 Range	a) Conclusion	Bad					
	Range has been assessed as Bad., because surface area of range is more than 10% below the FRV. Although the surface area of range has remained stable (based on 10km records), the species is now confimed extinct in one of the two locations it recently occupied.						
	b) Qualifier stable						
		The surface area of range based on 10km records has remained stable although the species is now confimed extinct in one of the two locations					
2.9.2 Population	a) Conclusion	Bad					
		ssessed as bad; it is declining and the current thought to be more than 25% below the FRV.					
	b) Qualifier	declining					
2.9.3 Habitat for the species	a) Conclusion	Bad					
	Habitat for species has been assessed as bad, as there is not considered to be sufficient habitat available to support a viable population, and the habitat trend is declining.						
	b) Qualifier	declining					
2.9.4 Future prospects	a) Conclusion	Bad					
	Future prospects is assessed as bad. Future prospects of the three parameters, range, population and habitat for species:						
	Range future prospects: Bad						
	Population future prospects: Bad						
	Habitat future prospects: Bad						
	Overall future prospects: Bad						
	Threatened by invasive species with no current control strategy; and by pollution to lake water.						
	b) Qualifier	declining					
2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Bad						
	The overall assessment is Bad., because all parameters are Bad.						
2.9.6 Overall trend in Conservation Status	declining						

3 Natura 2000 coverage & conservation measures - Annex II species (only applies to species listed under Annex II of the Directive)

3.1 Population		
3.1.1 Population size	a) Unit	
Estimation of population size included in the SAC network		
	b) Minimum	
	c) Maximum	
3.1.2 Method used		
3.1.3 Trend of population size within the network		
(short-term trend) Optional		

3.2 Conservation measures

Conservation measures taken (i.e. already being implemented) within the reporting period and provided information about their importance, location and evaluation.

3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type				3.2.5 Broad evaluation of the measure										
	a) Legal/statutory	b) Administrative	c) Contractual	d) Recurrent	e) One-off	medium importance L = low importance	a) Inside	b) Outside	c) Both inside & outside	a) Maintain	b) Enhance	c) Long term	d) No effect	e) Unknown	f) Not evaluated
			-				-		-						

United Kingdom