Chapter 1

Introduction

Britain is famous for its fossil reptiles, partly for historical reasons, but also because there are so many richly fossiliferous localities that have supplied, and continue to supply, excellent material. The continuing potential of British fossil reptile sites is illustrated by recent work on such internationally important localities as the Mid Triassic localities of England (e.g. Benton, 1990c, Benton et al., in press; Milner et al., 1990), the Late Triassic faunas of Elgin (e.g. Benton and Walker, 1985), the Late Triassic marine bone beds of the south-west of England (Storrs, in press; Storrs and Gower, 1993), the Late Triassic to Early Jurassic fissures around Bristol and in south Wales (e.g. Evans, 1980, 1981; Crush, 1984; Fraser, 1982, 1985, 1986, 1988a, 1988b, in press; Fraser and Walkden, 1983; Whiteside, 1986), the Early and Late Jurassic marine faunas of Dorset and Somerset (e.g. McGowan, 1974a, 1974b, 1976, 1986, 1989a, 1989b; Brown, 1981; Padian, 1983; Galton, 1985b; Brown et al., 1986; Taylor, 1992a, 1992b), the Mid Jurassic terrestrial faunas of the Cotswolds (e.g. Galton, 1980a, 1983a, 1983b, 1985b; Evans et al. 1988, 1990; Evans, 1989, 1990, 1991, 1992a; Evans and Milner, 1991, in press; Metcalf et al., 1992), the diverse small reptiles from the Purbeck of Swanage (e.g. Evans and Kemp, 1975, 1976; Gaffney, 1976; Galton, 1978, 1981b; Buffetaut, 1982; Estes, 1983; Howse, 1986; Ensom et al., 1991; Sereno, 1991a; Clark, in press), the Wealden of the Weald and of the Isle of Wight (e.g. Galton, 1969, 1971a, 1971b, 1971c, 1973, 1974, 1975; Buffetaut and Hutt, 1980; Norman, 1980, 1986, 1990b; Blows, 1987; Buffetaut, 1982; Charig and Milner, 1986, 1990; Unwin, 1991; Clark, in press), the pterosaurs and other reptiles from the Cambridge Greensand (e.g. Unwin, 1991), and the various Palaeogene faunas of southern England (e.g. Moody and Walker, 1970; Moody, 1974, 1980a; Walker and Moody, 1974; Meszoely and Ford, 1976; Hooker and Ward, 1980; Rage and Ford, 1980; Milner et al., 1982). The main focus in selecting sites for conservation has been to choose those which have been studied recently, and which have supplied abundant reptile specimens. An attempt was also made to balance the coverage, so that each major stratigraphic unit and facies is represented.

The historical records of fossil reptiles from Britain extend back a long way. Earliest finds included fossils that we now recognize as dinosaur bones (Figure 1.1) from the Mid Jurassic of Oxfordshire (Plot, 1677; Lhuyd, 1699; Woodward, 1728; Platt, 1758; more details in Delair and Sarjeant, 1975) and a marine crocodile from the Early Jurassic of Whitby, Yorkshire (Chapman, 1758; Wooller, 1758). More intensive collecting began only in the 19th century, and large numbers of marine ichthyosaurs and plesiosaurs were obtained from the Early Jurassic of Lyme Regis, Dorset and Whitby, Yorkshire (e.g. Home, 1814, 1819a; Conybeare, 1822, 1824; Young and Bird, 1822; more details in Benton and Taylor, 1984). More dinosaur specimens were found in the Mid Jurassic of Oxfordshire (Buckland, 1824) and in the Early Cretaceous of south-east England (Mantell, 1822, 1825), and footprints of Permian age came to light in Scotland (Buckland, 1828; Grierson, 1828; details in Sarjeant, 1974).

Throughout the remainder of the nineteenth century, large collections were amassed, and most of the localities noted in the present work were identified. Locality information for nineteenth century collections may be problematic in many cases, because of a lack of direct contact between the collectors and the palaeontologists who made the descriptions. Prolific authors such as Owen, Huxley, Seeley, Lydekker and others seem to have worked largely in their institutions on material that was sent to them from a network of local natural history and geological societies throughout the country. Only rarely did these biologically trained palaeontologists record geographic or geological details of the context of their specimens. A notable exception




Figure 1.1 Lower end of the thigh bone of *Megalosaurus*, from Cornwell, Oxfordshire: one of the first fossil reptile bones to be illustrated from Britain, and the oldest recorded figure of a dinosaur (from Plot, 1677).

is the account of the discovery and excavation of a partial skeleton of the ornithopod dinosaur *Camptosaurus prestwichii* (Hulke, 1880a) by Prestwich (1879, 1880).

Sporadic collecting has been carried out during the twentieth century, much of it by amateurs and professional collectors, but the network of suppliers and describers seems to have broken down rather. This was partly because of the lack of professional palaeontologists in Britain with suitably broad interests and the desire to encourage active collecting: indeed, the most prolific describer of British fossil reptiles between 1900 and 1930 was the German palaeontologist Baron Friedrich von Huene! A further problem was the decline of local natural history societies and the loss of skilled collectors with local knowledge. Unfortunately, this has meant that many finds were recorded only rather poorly, if at all, and much of the material has been inadequately curated, or even lost altogether. In addition, many of the small local museums set up by natural history societies in the 1830s and 1840s declined into disuse and were either closed or handed over to local authorities. In most cases, there was no longer anyone with any knowledge or appreciation of the local specimens, and a tremendous amount of fossil reptile material must have been lost or damaged during this time, or abandoned in such a way that curatorial information was lost (see Torrens and Taylor, 1990 for a typical example, the sorry story of the Cheltenham museums).

It is only in the last 10 or 20 years that local museum standards in geology have improved dramatically, and that serious excavations by amateurs and professional scientists have been renewed in any numbers. These factors have led to the discovery and exploitation of several important sites, as noted above. The collections made during these years are to be seen in a large number of museums (listed at the end of this introduction).

REPTILIAN EVOLUTION

Reptiles today are readily identifiable: they are of course the turtles, crocodilians, lizards, snakes and the tuatara. However, the diversity of reptiles in the past was much greater than these surviving lineages would suggest. Without the fossil record, we could not begin to guess at the evolutionary history of the group. In phyloge-

netic terms, the Class Reptilia is a paraphyletic group, meaning that it arose from a single ancestor (among the amphibians), but that the Class does not include all of its descendants, namely the birds and the mammals. The reptiles are a part of the larger monophyletic group, the Amniota (= reptiles + birds + mammals).

Modern amniotes are defined by the possession of a cleidoic (= closed) or amniotic egg, an egg that has an outer protective coating or shell, and a complex system of membranes around the embryo within the egg. Unlike the amniotic eggs of fishes and amphibians (e.g. frog spawn), the cleidoic egg can be viewed as a 'private pond' in which the embryo can develop in relative safety on land, and with all nutritional supplies (the yolk) available. Waste materials are collected in the allantois, and the embryo can breathe through the semipermeable eggshell, which may be leathery or calcareous. The cleidoic egg allows amniotes to lay their eggs away from water, and this may have been an important advantage when the group arose, in Carboniferous times, in allowing them to occupy upland and dry areas.

The oldest reptiles have been known from the early Late Carboniferous of Nova Scotia, Canada, since the 1850s, and these include 'protorothyridids' and synapsids. A major discovery in Scotland in 1988 (Smithson, 1989; Smithson and Rolfe, 1991) has pushed the origin of amniotes back even further into the Carboniferous than had been suspected: the Nova Scotia animals date from about 300–310 Ma, while the new Scottish find, dubbed 'Lizzie' by its discoverer, Mr Stan P. Wood, is dated as about 330 Ma old. The exact affinities of 'Lizzie' are not yet certain.

Over the past 100 years, it has become clear that the major lines of amniote evolution were clearly laid out during the Late Carboniferous. The amniotes split into three main lineages, the synapsids (mammal-like reptiles and ultimately, the mammals), the diapsids (early forms, dinosaurs, extinct marine reptiles, lizards, snakes, crocodilians and ultimately birds), and the anapsids (primitive groups and turtles). Traditionally, the amniotes have been divided into four groups on the basis of their skull openings (Figure 1.2). The opening(s) behind the orbit (eye socket), termed the temporal opening(s), are present in various arrangements: no temporal opening in the anapsids, two temporal

Reptilian evolution




Figure 1.2 The skull patterns, in side view, of the major lineages of reptiles. The anapsid pattern (A) is plei-somorphic (primitive), being present also in fishes and amphibians, while the diapsid (B) and synapsid (C) patterns define two major clades of amniotes, the Diapsida (thecodontians, dinosaurs, pterosaurs, crocodiles, birds) and the Synapsida (mammal-like reptiles and mammals). The euryapsid pattern (D) may have arisen more than once, in different marine groups, and appears to be a derivative pattern. Abbreviations: J – jugal; p – parietal; p – postorbital; p – squamosal. (After Benton, 1990a.)




Figure 1.3 Cladogram of the major groups of reptiles, based on recent analyses (after Benton, 1990a).

openings in the diapsids, a lower temporal opening only in the synapsids, and an upper temporal opening in the euryapsids. The first three of these groups is still regarded as having taxonomic validity, but the 'euryapsids' appear to be an artificial assemblage of extinct marine reptiles that are modified diapsids.

In recent years a number of attempts have been made to disentangle the evolution of the major amniote groups by the application of cladistic analysis (e.g. Gaffney, 1980; Gardiner, 1982; Kemp, 1982; Evans, 1984, 1988a; Gauthier, 1986; Heaton and Reisz, 1986; Benton, 1985, 1990b; Benton and Clark, 1988; Gaffney and Meylan, 1988; Gauthier et al., 1988a, 1988b; Massare and Callaway, 1990; Storrs, 1991; Spencer, 1994). The phylogenetic analyses are still tentative in part, and there has been disagreement over the placement of the major groups, particularly the birds and mam-Gardiner (1982) and many molecular biologists, find strong evidence for linking birds and mammals closely as the Haemothermia (sharing a common ancestor presumably in the Triassic), while most other authors accept a more 'traditional' view, followed here also, that the phylogenetic split between birds and mammals lies in the Carboniferous (i.e. the diapsid/synapsid split). A cladogram, based on the work of the above-noted authors, and updated from those in Benton (1990a, 1990b), based on the work of Massare and Callaway (1990), Storrs (1991) and Spencer (1994), is given in Figure 1.3.

An evolutionary tree (Figure 1.4) and a classification of reptiles (Figure 1.5), both based on the cladogram, show the main features of the global evolution of the reptiles after the mid Carboniferous. Reptiles were rare animals during the Carboniferous, being restricted apparently to life in and around the trees of the great coal forests of Europe and North America. However, despite their rarity and generally modest size, the main lineages of amniote evolution, the anapsids, synapsids and diapsids, became clearly established then. During the Early Permian, as documented particularly in the mid-western United States, the pelycosaurs (mammal-like reptiles with and without 'sails') became abundant and diverse, to be followed in the Late Permian of South Africa and Russia by the radiation of various groups of therapsid mammal-like reptiles (dicynodonts, gorgonopsians, dinocephalians). During the Triassic, as indicated in many parts of the world, including Britain, there was a major turnover of faunas after the end-Permian extinction event, and new (cynodonts, groups of synapsids dicynodonts) and diapsids (prolacertiforms, rhynchosaurs, archosaurs) came on the scene. These faunas apparently disappeared during the Late Triassic, to be replaced by a global 'modern' fauna, consisting of dinosaurs, pterosaurs, crocodilians, turtles, 'lizards', lissamphibians and mammals. These Late Triassic, as well as the Jurassic and Cretaceous dinosaur faunas are very similar worldwide, because of the conjunction of all continents in Pangaea, and because of the apparently equable climatic conditions worldwide. Faunal provinces become evident by Late Cretaceous times as a result of the opening-up of the Atlantic Ocean and the break-up of Gondwanaland, and this theme continues through the Tertiary to the present.

Fuller details of reptilian evolution may be found in textbooks such as Carroll (1988), Benton (1990a, 1990d) and Colbert and Morales (1991). Books on particular groups of fossil reptiles include Kemp (1982) and Hotton *et al.* (1986) on the mammal-like reptiles, Norman (1985), Benton (1989), Weishampel *et al.* (1990) and Carpenter and Currie (1990) on the dinosaurs, and Wellnhofer (1991) on the pterosaurs.

The British record of fossil reptiles illustrates a remarkably high proportion of the evolution of the group (Figure 1.6). Missing portions are the Late Carboniferous to Early Permian, known only from footprints and sporadic body fossils, virtually the whole of the evolution of mammallike reptiles before the latest Triassic, and the Miocene and Pliocene. Otherwise, there is strong representation for the Late Permian, the Mid and Late Triassic, the marine Jurassic and terrestrial Mid Jurassic, the terrestrial Early Cretaceous and marine mid- to Late Cretaceous, and the terrestrial Palaeogene and Pleistocene. A comparison of the sequence of major reptilebearing units in Great Britain with those from other parts of the world highlights the strengths and weaknesses. Of the major reptile lineages, British sites have produced tritylodontids among the synapsids; pareiasaurs, procolophonids and turtles, among the anapsids; and sphenodontids, lizards, snakes, rhynchosaurs, 'thecodontians', pterosaurs, dinosaurs, crocodilians, plesiosaurs and ichthyosaurs, among the diapsids.

Reptilian evolution




Figure 1.4 Evolutionary tree of the main groups of reptiles, with proposed relationships based on recent cladistic analyses, and the stratigraphical distributions based on global data. The width of the 'spindles' represents diversity of the groups (after Benton, 1990a).

Series Amniota †Family Sphenosuchidae *Class Reptilia Order Crocodylia Subclass Synapsida †Family Protosuchidae 'Family Protorothyrididae' †Suborder Mesosuchia †Family Mesosauridae Family Teleosauridae Family Metriorhynchidae *†Order Pelycosauria Order Therapsida Family Sebecidae, etc. †Suborder Biarmosuchia Suborder Eusuchia †Suborder Dinocephalia Family Gavialidae †Suborder Dicynodontia Family Crocodylidae †Suborder Gorgonopsia Family Alligatoridae Subdivision Omithosuchia Suborder Cynodontia †Family Procynosuchidae †Family Ornithosuchidae †Family Gale sauridae †Family Lagosuchidae †Family Cynognathidae †Order Pterosauria †Family Diademodontidae Suborder Rhamphorhynchoidea †Family Chiniquodontidae Suborder Pterodactyloidea †Family Tritylodontidae *†Superorder Dinosauria †Family Tritheledontidae Family Herrerasauridae Class Mammalia Order Saurischia Subclass Anapsida (sensu stricto) Suborder Theropoda †Family Captorhinidae Infraorder Ceratosauria †Family Procolophonidae Infraorder Carnosauria †Family Pareiasauridae Family Ornithomimidae Order Testudines (Chelonia) Infraorder Maniraptora †Family Proganochelyidae Family Compsognathidae Suborder Pleurodira Family Coeluridae Suborder Cryptodira Family Oviraptoridae Superfamily Baenoidea Family Dromaeosauridae †Family Meiolaniidae Family Troodontidae Superfamily Chelonioidea Class Aves Superfamily Trionychoidea Suborder Sauropodomorpha Superfamily Testudinoidea *Infraorder Prosauropoda †Family Protorothyrididae Family Thecodontosauridae Subclass Diapsida Family Plateosauridae †Family Millerettidae Family Melanorosauridae †Family Petrolacosauridae Infraorder Sauropoda †Family Weigeltisauridae *Family Cetiosauridae Infraclass Lepidosauromorpha Family Camarasauridae †Order Younginiformes Family Brachiosauridae Superorder Lepidosauria Family Diplodocidae Order Sphenodontida Family Titanosauridae Order Ornithischia Family Sphenodontidae †Family Pleurosauridae Family Pisanosauridae Order Squamata Family Fabrosauridae *Suborder Sauria (Lacertilia) Suborder Cerapoda Infraorder Gekkota Infraorder Ornithopoda Infraorder Iguania Family Heterodontosauridae Infraorder Scincomorpha Family Hypsilophodontidae Infraorder Anguimorpha *Family Iguanodontidae Infraorder Amphisbaenia Family Hadrosauridae Suborder Serpentes (Ophidia) Infraorder Pachycephalosauria Infraclass Archosauromorpha Infraorder Ceratopsia †Family Trilophosauridae Family Psittacosauridae †Family Rhynchosauridae Family Protoceratopsidae †Order Prolacertiformes Family Ceratopsidae Division Archosauria Suborder Thyreophora Family Proterosuchidae Family Scelidosauridae Family Erythrosuchidae Infraorder Stegosauria Family Euparkeriidae Infraorder Ankylosauria Subdivision Crocodylotarsi Family Nodosauridae †Family Phytosauridae Family Ankylosauridae †Family Stagonolepididae Diapsida incertae sedis †Family Rauisuchidae †Superorder Sauropterygia †Family Poposauridae Order Placodontia Superorder Crocodylomorpha Order Nothosauria †Family Saltopusuchidae Order Plesiosauria

Figure 1.5 Table showing the classification of the major groups of reptiles, based on the cladograms summarized in Figure 1.3. Symbols: † –extinct group; * paraphyletic group. (After Benton, 1990a.)

8




Figure 1.6 (A) Map of Great Britain showing the distribution of the 50 GCR fossil sites; (B) the outcrop pattern of Permian, Triassic, Jurassic, Cretaceous and Tertiary rocks in Great Britain. (After Benton, 1988.)

STRATIGRAPHY

British fossil reptile sites range over the maximum time range possible, from the Early Carboniferous (Brigantian, *c.* 330 Ma) to the Pleistocene.

The stratigraphic location of most sites is relatively well-fixed by international standards. This is partly because of the mature state of local biostratigraphy in Britain. In addition, it has been possible to correlate sites to ammonite zones, or even subzones, for most of the Jurassic and Cretaceous. Dating evidence for the terrestrial Permian, Triassic and Palaeogene sites is less secure, but is often tied to evidence from palynology, or other floral and microfossil evidence. The age of fossil reptile faunas is crucial for a proper understanding of the evolution, palaeoecology and palaeobiogeography of the group, and considerable emphasis has been placed on

establishing the age of each site as precisely as possible. The evidence, and any controversial issues, are recounted in detail in the site descriptions.

HOW THE SITES WERE SELECTED

Fifty sites were selected as Geological Conservation Review (GCR) sites for their significance in representing aspects of the evolution of reptiles (Figure 1.7). A full account of the site-selection procedure, as well as discussions of the use of sites, the detective work involved, and conservation issues are given by Benton and Wimbledon (1985) and Benton (1988). The exact procedure followed in investigating Britain's heritage of fossil reptile sites, in selecting those that should become Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSIs), and hence come under the protection of the Wildlife and

A			Britain	Continenta Europe	1	North America	Southern Continents
Triassic	Late	Rhaetian	Aust Cliff; Bristol fissures	Rh ät			Los Colorados
		Norian	Bendrick Rock; Bristol fissures	Stubensandste	ein	Upper Chinle & Dockum	Lower Elliot
		Carnian	Elgin sites	Schilfsandstein		Popo Agie; Lower Chinle & Dockum	Santa Maria; Ischigualasto; Maleri
<u>E</u>		Ladinian		Muschelkalk			
	Mid	Anisian	Grinshill; Coten End; Devon coast	Muschelkalk; Donguz Series		Moenkopi	
	E	Scythian		Buntsandstein	n		đn
u	Late	Tatarian	Cutties Hillock; Masonshaugh		Urals		Beaufort Group Karroo
Permian		Kazanian		Zechstein	_		ean
5		Ufimian	Middridge	Kupferschiefe	r		В
S		Kungurian				Pease River Group	
	Early	Artinskian		Rotliegendes	,	Clear Fork Group	
	E	Sakmarian			_	Wichita	
		Asselian				Group	
Si		Gzelian					
Carboniferous	Late	Kasimovian		•	_	Garnett	
		Moscovian		Nýrany	\dashv	Joggins; Florence	
		Bashkirian			_		
1	i v	Serpukhovian	.n. m.1		\dashv		
ar	Early	Viséan	(East Kirkton)		\dashv		
	\Box	Tournaisian					

Figure 1.7 Generalized stratigraphic column showing the major British fossil reptile sites in sequence, and comparable sites elsewhere in the world. A: Carboniferous to Triassic; B: Jurassic to Cretaceous; C: Tertiary to Quaternary.

Countryside Act (1981), and in producing the present volume were as follows (modified from Benton and Wimbledon, 1985):

- 1. Initial data handling (1981–1982). M.J.B. examined all published papers about British fossil reptiles and noted all site information, poor as it usually was (e.g. 'Wealden, Sussex', 'Bathonian, Oxfordshire', 'Chalk, Dover'). M.J.B. then studied most of the major museum collections in Britain (listed below) and again noted site information, especially in the very rare cases where some of the original collector's notes had been kept. M.J.B. then organised this mass of information into broad stratigraphical and geographical blocks (e.g. Triassic of Elgin, Early Jurassic of Yorkshire, Kimmeridge Clay of Dorset).
- 2. Site location (1981–1982). M.J.B. then tried to find as many of the quarries as possible on

old and new 6 inches to the mile (1:10 000) Ordnance Survey maps. This stage involved the use of geological maps, relevant stratigraphical literature, historical archives and much guess-work. Eventually, a working list of some 500 sites, with map references were drawn up. The stratigraphical distribution of those 500 sites was as follows:

Caenozoic /	Pleistocene	50
Cretaceous	– Late	60
	– Early	90
Jurassic	– Late	90
	– Mid	90
	– Early	50
Triassic	– Rhaetian	20
	Scythian–Norian	40
Permian		10
Carbonifero	us	1
		500

1

How the sites were selected

В			Britain	Continental Europe	North America	Southern Continents
	Late	Maastrichtian		Sinpetra; Rognac; Maastricht	Hell Creek; Lance; Laramie; Scollard; Frenchman	Titanosaur beds; Kronosaur chalk, Australia; Nemegt
		Campanian	Burham		Two Medicine; Milk River; Judith River; Horseshoe Canyon; Fruitland	Djadochta; Barun Goyot
		Senonian				
		Cenomanian				Quishan
Cretaceous		Albian	Folkestone		Dakota; Paluxy; Cloverly	
Creta		Aptian				Kukhtekskaya
	Early	Barremian	Clock House	Bernissart; Nehden; Las Zabacheras	Lakota	Santana
	Ea	Hauterivian	Bri			
		Valanginian	Hastings; Telham			
		Berriasian	Durlston			
	Late	Portlandian	Chicksgrove Pin Q	Wimereux; Wimille		
		Kimmeridgian	Kimmeridge Smallmouth	Boulogne; Solenhofen; Eichstätt; Cerin; Guimarota	Morrison	Tendaguru
		Oxfordian	Peterborough	Calvados		Shangshaximiao (Sichuan)
	Mid	Callovian		Dives		
ji		Bathonian	Shipton; Kirtlington Stonesfield; New Park	Caen		Xiashaximiao (Sichuan)
Jurassic		Bajocian				
J.		Aalenian				
	Early	Toarcian	Whitby	Holzmaden	Navajo	Khota
		Pliensbachian			Kayenta	
		Sinemurian				
		Hettangian	Lyme Regis		Wingate; Moenave	Clarens; Lower Lufeng; Upper Elliot

Figure 1.7 *–continued*.

C				Britain	Continental Europe	North America	Southern Continents
Quat-	ernary	F	Iolocene	Ubiquitous	Ubiquitous	Ubiquitous	Pampas;
ਨ	ern	Pleistocene		Ubiquitous	Ubiquitous	Ubiquitous	Omo;
	Neogene	Pliocene			Montpellier; Pikermi;	Hemphill; Clarendon;	Siwaliks (part); Montehermosa;
		Upper Miocene				Barstow; Ogalalla (part)	Chasico; Fort Ternan;
		Middle Miocene			Steinheim;	Hemingford	Chinji (Siwalik)
		Lower Miocene				Arikareean	Santa Cruz;
	Palaeogene	Oligocene	Chattian	Bouldnor Cliff		White River (part)	Colhuehuapian
LT.			Rupelian		Quercy (part)	White River (part);	Deseado;
Tertiary			Priabonian	Hordle;	Quercy (part);		Fayûm (part)
T			Bartonian	Barton Cliff		Uinta;	
		Eocene	Lutetian		Messel; Geiseltal	Bridger; Washakie (part)	Musters
			Ypre sian	Sheppey	Erquelinnes; Dormaal;	Wind River; Willwood;	Casa Mayor
		Palaeocene	Thanetian		Cernay	Fort Union; Tongue River;	Rio Chico; Itaboraí;
		Pala	Danian			Torrejonian	

Figure 1.7 -continued.

- 3. Preliminary site sorting (1981–1982). In an ideal world, one would like to preserve all 500 sites for future scientific use, and prevent infilling or other developments. However, this would be futile, or impracticable, for many of the 500 certain sites were discarded from the list of potential SSSIs at once those that had yielded only a few scraps, and those that had been obliterated by later developments. This was a step designed to minimize the amount of fieldwork required.
- 4. Site visits and further site sorting (1981–1982). Every site on the reduced list of 200 or so was visited, and an attempt was made to locate the fossiliferous horizon(s). At this stage, further sites were struck off the list of potential SSSIs if they were filled in, or if the relevant horizons were completely inaccessible
- 5. Selection of major sites (1981–1982). The selection of key sites for each unit was then

- made. Each of these sites had to have demonstrated potential (i.e. major finds of international importance, whether published or not), as well as the potential for more finds from known fossiliferous horizons.
- 6. Publication of the work (1990–1992). P.S.S., in association with M.J.B., updated all the records made in 1981–1982, arranged the information in a logical format, and produced the present volume. The focus of the text is on the 50 SSSIs, but all other sites that were identified as having produced any reptile fossils are also documented in the relevant places in the text. Figure P.6 shows the distribution of these 50 sites.

Further information on the site-selection procedure, with a detailed example, based on the Oxfordian sites, is given by Benton and Wimbledon (1985). Benton (1988) lists all 50 British fossil reptile SSSIs in synoptic form, and full details and justifications are given in this volume.